So far during the war period, the demands for agricultural commodities and their products, of course, have generally outrun supplies. As a result of these war-time demands, prices for agricultural commodities have, in the main, been at or above the producer price-support levels established by the War Food Administration and the Department of Agriculture. But, as War Mobilization Director Byrnes has recently indicated, supplies may soon exceed demands in the case of many commodities for which price support must be given. In order to sustain market prices and support levels under such conditions, greatly increased price-support activity will undoubtedly be required. Techniques will have to be developed for supporting the prices of many commodities for which, because of the exceptional present demands, very little price-support activity has heretofore been necessary, and many difficult problems of handling, storing, and disposing of the large stocks of commodities that will undoubtedly be acquired will have to be solved. The federal statutory provisions relating to price support for agricultural commodities, with which this paper deals, will thus be likely to have greatly increased significance in the near future and in the postwar period.

* Solicitor of the United States War Food Administration and of the Department of Agriculture.

† Rep. to President by Director of War Mobilization, N.Y. Times, § 5, p. 41, cols. 7 and 8 (Sept. 10, 1944), 90 Cong. Rec., Sept. 12, 1944, at 7761.

‡ For an excellent discussion of the forces which will affect the postwar trend of farm prices, see a recent paper entitled “Agricultural Prices Following World War II,” by O. V. Wells, of the Bureau of Agricultural Economics, United States Department of Agriculture.

§ The War Mobilization Director’s recent report to the President, referred to in note 1, supra, states that “...it is estimated that compliance with this [price support] commitment may require an appropriation of as much as $2,000,000,000 in 1945.”

¶ The federal law which provides the basic authority for price support activities, which would involve consideration of the powers of the Commodity Credit Corporation, is not covered by this article. The discussion here given is limited to those federal statutory provisions which make price-supporting action mandatory or which direct the manner in which price-supporting action shall be carried out. Authority in federal law for carrying out price-support action through the Commodity Credit Corporation and other corporations preexisted the statutory provisions here discussed and, of course, was exercised prior to the enactment of these statutes. For discussions of the powers of the Commodity Credit Corporation, see Hearings before the Subcommittee of the House Committee on Appropriations on the Agriculture Department appropriation bill for 1945, 78th Cong., 2d Sess. (1944) 1250–1256, and Hearings before the
The federal statutes dealing with price support for agricultural commodities fall into two main classes: First, there are the laws dealing directly with price-support operations and, second, there are the laws dealing indirectly with price-support operations. These latter laws operate in three ways: (1) They place limitations on the disposal of government-owned or controlled stocks of agricultural commodities; (2) they regulate the marketing and affect the production of agricultural commodities; and (3) they encourage increased consumption of agricultural commodities.

The laws dealing directly with price-support operations tend to divide the agricultural commodities (some 166 in all) into three groups: (1) the so-called basic commodities, (2) the so-called Steagall commodities, and (3) other commodities. The basic commodities are corn, wheat, cotton, tobacco, rice, and peanuts for nuts. The Steagall commodities are those as to which the United States Secretary of Agriculture or War Food Administrator has requested an expansion of production for war purposes and has made public announcement to that effect under the provisions of the so-called Steagall Amendment. The Steagall commodities are: hogs, eggs,
chickens (with certain exceptions) and turkeys, milk and butter, dry peas of certain varieties, dry edible beans of certain varieties, soybeans for oil, peanuts for oil, flaxseed for oil, American-Egyptian cotton, potatoes, and cured sweet potatoes. Among the 140 or so other agricultural commodities for which support prices have been announced are wool, naval stores, American hemp, sugar beets, sugarcane, black-eye peas and beans, certain fruits for processing, certain vegetables for processing, barley, grain sorghums, rye, Sea Island cotton, certain vegetable seeds, winter cover crop seeds, and hay and pasture seeds.

The law provides that farm prices of the basic commodities shall be

ment to permit the producers of such commodity to make a readjustment in the production of the commodity. For the purposes of this section, commodities other than cotton, corn, wheat, tobacco, peanuts, and rice shall be deemed to be non-basic commodities.” Act of July 1, 1941 as amended, 55 Stat. 498 (1941), 66 Stat. 768 (1942), 15 U.S.C. § 713a-8(a) (Supp. III).

The powers, functions, and duties of the Secretary of Agriculture under the amendment and under other statutes relating to price support for agricultural commodities were among those transferred to the War Food Administrator. See Executive Order No. 9334 of April 19, 1943, 8 Fed. Reg. 5423 (1943).


Section 8(a) of the Stabilization Act of 1942, as amended, is as follows:

“Sec. 8. (a) The Commodity Credit Corporation is authorized and directed to make available upon any crop of the commodities cotton, corn, wheat, rice, tobacco, and peanuts harvested after December 31, 1941, and before the expiration of the two-year period beginning with the 1st day of January immediately following the date upon which the President by proclamation or the Congress by concurrent resolution declares that hostilities in the present war have terminated, if producers have not disapproved marketing quotas for such commodity for the marketing year beginning in the calendar year in which such crop is harvested, loans as follows:

“(1) To cooperators (except cooperators outside the commercial corn-producing area, in the case of corn) at the rate in the case of cotton of 92 per centum [except with respect to cotton crops harvested after December 31, 1943, but not with respect to cotton crops planted after 1944, 95 per centum] and at the rate in the case of the other commodities of 90 per centum, of the parity price for the commodity as of the beginning of the marketing year;

“(2) To cooperators outside the commercial corn-producing area, in the case of corn, at the rate of 75 per centum of the rate specified in (1) above;

“(3) To noncooperators (except noncooperators outside the commercial corn-producing area, in the case of corn) at the rate of 60 per centum of the rate specified in (1) above and only on so much of the commodity as would be subject to penalty if marketed.”

See note 26, infra for a brief explanation of the meaning of “parity.”
supported by producer loans at 90 per cent of parity in the case of corn, wheat, tobacco, rice, and peanuts for nuts, and 92 1/2 per cent of parity in the case of cotton (except with respect to cotton harvested after 1943 but planted before 1945, 95 per cent of parity). These loans must be continued for at least two years after the war. When acreage allotments and marketing quotas are in effect under the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938, these rates are available only to cooperating farmers, and non-cooperators are entitled to loans only on that part of their production in excess of the quota and at only 60 per cent of the rate applicable to cooperators. In the case of corn outside the commercial corn-producing area, the applicable loan rate to cooperators is only 75 per cent of the rate in the area, and no loans are required to be made to non-cooperators outside the area. The law also provides that none of the foregoing loans are required to be made if marketing quotas are proclaimed but are opposed by more than one-third of the farmers voting in the producer referendum.

The law as amended further provides that the loan rates referred to above are subject to such adjustment—not below 85 per cent of parity, however—as the President determines necessary "to prevent an increase in the cost of feed for livestock and poultry and to aid in the effective prosecution of the war." The 1943 wheat and corn loan rates were adjusted to 85 per cent of parity pursuant to this provision. The 1944 wheat loan rate, originally so adjusted to 85 per cent of parity, has now been restored to the 90 per cent level.

Section 37 of the Surplus Property Act of 1944, note 9, supra, which increases the loan rate on the 1944 cotton crop from 92 1/2 percent to 95 percent of parity, reads as follows:

"(a) Section 8(a)(1) of the Stabilization Act of 1942, as amended (relating to loans upon certain agricultural commodities), is amended by striking out 'at the rate in the case of cotton of 92 1/2 per centum' and inserting in lieu thereof 'at the rate in the case of cotton of 95 per centum.'

"(b) The amendment made by this section shall be applicable only with respect to crops harvested after December 31, 1943, but shall not apply to crops planted after 1944. In the case of loans made under such section 8 upon any of the 1944 crop of cotton before the amendment made by this section takes effect, the Commodity Credit Corporation is authorized and directed to increase or provide for increasing the amount of such loans to the amount of the loans which would have been made if the loan rate specified in the amendment made by this section had been in effect at the time the loans were made."

The commercial corn-producing area, as defined by section 30(a)(4)(A) of the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938, 7 U.S.C. § 1331(b)(4)(A) (1940), includes all counties in which the average production of corn (excluding corn used as silage) during the ten calendar years immediately preceding the calendar year for which such area is determined, after adjustment for abnormal weather conditions, is four hundred and fifty bushels or more per farm and four bushels or more for each acre of farm land in the county. See also section 30(a)(4)(B) of the act.


" 56 Stat. 768 (1942), 50 U.S.C. App. § 968(c) (Supp. III)."
Prices for some of the basic commodities have been supported at various levels by producer loans since 1933. Since the enactment of the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938, the law has specified the levels at which these loans should be made. The rate of price support has been changed by administrative or Congressional action from time to time. Soon after the war in Europe began, the Congress fixed the loan rates on commodities produced through the calendar year 1946 at 85 per cent of parity. With the enactment of the Stabilization Act of 1942, these rates were raised from 85 per cent to 90 per cent of parity and were made applicable for at least two years after the war. The loan rate on cotton was increased on June 30, 1944, by the Stabilization Extension Act of 1944, to 92 1/2 per cent of parity and, with respect to cotton in 1944, as just indicated, was increased on October 3, 1944, by the Surplus Property Act of 1944, to 95 per cent of parity. In addition, Congress has by the Stabilization Extension Act directed the President to take "all lawful action" through any agency of the government to assure to producers of the basic commodities the higher of (1) the parity price or (2) the highest price (adjusted for grade, location, and season) received between January and September, 1942.

The provisions of the Stabilization Extension Act of 1944 have raised questions as to the status of the mandatory loan rates. The Congress had before it, while the Stabilization Extension Act was being considered, a provision increasing the loan rates on all the basic commodities to 95 per cent. This requirement will be effective only through June 30, 1945, unless the Stabilization Act of 1942 is further extended. See section 6 of that act, as amended by section 203 of the Stabilization Extension Act of 1944.

The prices specified in clauses (1) and (2) of the section are: (1) the parity or comparable price (adjusted for grade, location, and season) for the commodity; or (2) the highest price received by producers for the commodity between January 1 and September 15, 1942 (adjusted for grade, location, and season), or, if the market for the commodity was inactive during the latter half of such period, a price in line with the prices during such period of other commodities produced for the same general use. These prices appear in the Monthly Price Report of the Bureau of Agricultural Economics, Agricultural Prices, June 29, 1944, 19-24.
cent of parity. However, the legislation then enacted increased only the cotton loan rate and provided that the President should take all lawful action to assure to farm producers of the basic commodities not less than the prices just referred to. It seems clear that this general legislative direction to the President did not change the mandatory loan rates on basic commodities. These rates are specifically fixed by that statute at 92\% per cent of parity for cotton and 90 per cent of parity for the other basic commodities. Moreover, the mandatory loan rate with respect to cotton in 1944 was increased to 95 per cent of parity by the Surplus Property Act of 1944 but the loan rates with respect to the other basic commodities were not changed. This view is further confirmed by the fact that the War Food Administration has recently announced 100 per cent of parity purchase programs, under certain conditions, with respect to cotton and wheat for the 1944 crops, whereas the loan rates remain at the statutory levels.

With respect to the Steagall commodities—hogs, eggs, chickens and turkeys, milk and butterfat, dry peas of certain varieties, dry beans of certain varieties, soybeans for oil, peanuts for oil, flaxseed for oil, American-Egyptian cotton, potatoes, and cured sweet potatoes—the law also provides for price support for at least two years after the war, at not less than 90 per cent of the parity or comparable price. The provision just discussed, which directs the President to take all lawful action to assure producers the higher of the parity price or the highest price (adjusted for grade, location, and season) received between January and September, 1942, is also applicable to the Steagall commodities.

The Steagall Amendment provides that, whenever during the existing

---

19 U.S. Department of Agriculture press release of September 24, 1944.
20 See note 17, supra.
21 In general, in connection with this mandate to the President, the War Food Administration is taking all lawful action, within the limits of the funds available to it, to carry out the Congressional direction to assure the producers of the basic and Steagall commodities the prices referred to. Substantially all the three billion dollar borrowing power of the Commodity Credit Corporation is committed as a result of its current loan, purchase, and other operations, and price-support programs at higher than present levels could not be carried out unless considerable additional funds were made available by the Congress. When the borrowing power of the Commodity Credit Corporation was last increased (57 Stat. 566, 15 U.S.C. § 713a–4 [Supp. III]) which was before the enactment of the Stabilization Extension Act of 1944, the need for additional funds to carry out this mandate obviously was not considered. The Congress has not had an opportunity since the enactment of the Stabilization Extension Act of 1944 fully to appraise the need for funds and to implement its direction to the President by providing necessary additional funds. No consideration is here given to the possible effect of this direction to the President upon ceiling prices established under the Emergency Price Control Act of 1942, 56 Stat. 23 (1942), as amended, 56 Stat. 767 (1942), 50 U.S.C. App. § 901 et seq. (Supp. III) and Pub. L. No. 383, 78th Cong., 2d Sess. (June 30, 1944).
emergency the Secretary of Agriculture finds it necessary to encourage the expansion of production of any non-basic agricultural commodity, he shall make public announcement thereof. Upon such finding and announcement, the Secretary is required to use Commodity Credit Corporation and other funds available to him for disposal programs, through a commodity loan, purchase, or other operation, so as to support at not less than the specified level the price for the producers of the commodity with respect to which the announcement was made. This specified minimum price-support level was originally 85 per cent of the parity or comparable price. Under the amendment as originally enacted, the Secretary was required to continue the price support for any such commodity until he had given sufficient public announcement to permit producers to make readjustments in the production of the commodity. Just as the loan rate in the case of the basic commodities was increased from 85 per cent to 90 per cent of parity by the Stabilization Act of 1942, so the minimum-support rate for Steagall commodities was increased by that act to not less than 90 per cent of the parity or comparable price. Also, the Stabilization Act of 1942 extended the duration of the support for Steagall commodities by providing that the support should continue for at least two years after the war.

There are several points to be noted as to the methods by which prices of Steagall commodities may be supported. The law provides that prices may be supported “through a commodity loan, purchase, or other operation.” It leaves the particular method for administrative determination. A variety of support mechanisms have been employed—commodity loans, as in the case of 1944 crop of American-Egyptian cotton, purchase programs, as in the case of eggs, and variations and combinations of these, as in the case of soybeans. Many of these operations have involved inventory takeouts, that is, commitments to processors or dealers to purchase inventories of commodities for which farmers were paid support prices or inventories of products, such as soybean oil and meal, made from commodities for which farmers were paid support prices.

In addition, the marketing level at which price-support mechanisms are operative is left to administrative discretion. Thus, the price-support

22 Now War Food Administrator, see note 6, supra.
23 Section 2(e) of the Emergency Price Control Act of 1942, as amended by the Stabilization Extension Act of 1944, provides that, after June 30, 1945, no government corporation shall make any subsidy payments, or buy any commodities for the purpose of selling them at a loss and thereby subsidizing directly or indirectly the sale of commodities, unless funds for such purpose have been appropriated by Congress. Purchases made under price support programs designed primarily to obtain necessary agricultural production do not appear to come within this prohibition.
mechanism may be operative at the farm level, the local market level, the
sub-terminal or terminal market level, or at more than one level, depend-
ing upon what is administratively most practicable. In most cases, opera-
tions are carried out at more than one level. For example, with respect to
the 1943 crop of Irish potatoes, loans were made to producers and to deal-
ers who paid support prices, and purchase programs were carried out at
both levels.

It will be noted that the Steagall Amendment provides for price support
\textit{at not less than} the 90 per cent of the parity or comparable price level, and
that it does not \textit{fix} the level, as does the loan legislation with respect to
the basic commodities. In other words, the Steagall Amendment estab-
lishes only a floor—\textit{90 per cent of the parity or comparable price}—below
which a support mechanism may not operate, and leaves the way open for
price support at a higher level if such action is necessary to get needed
production. The levels of price support for Steagall commodities range
this year from 90 per cent of parity for eggs and potatoes to about 130
per cent of parity for milk and butterfat.\footnote{Peanuts are in a special category. See note 5, supra. The level of producer price support
for 1944 crop peanuts, both for nuts and for oil, is close to 100 per cent of the parity price of
peanuts for nuts and about 200 per cent of the comparable price of peanuts for oil.}
It follows from what has been
said that the price support for Steagall commodities may vary from year
to year or time to time, provided, of course, that at all times the price-
support level is equal to at least 90 per cent of the parity or comparable
price. In the case of soybeans, the support price was about 105 per cent
of the comparable price for the 1942 crop, about 110 per cent of the com-
parable price for the 1943 crop, and is almost 125 per cent of the compar-
able price for the 1944 crop. In the case of hogs, on the other hand, the
trend of the support rate has been downward recently. Thus, while $13.75
per cwt. was the support level announced for 200 to 240-pound hogs
through September 30, 1944, $12.50 per cwt. was the level announced at
the same time for the period beginning October 1, 1944.

And this brings us to another question concerning price-support opera-
tions for Steagall commodities: Must the support-price mechanism for a
commodity be applicable across the board, so to speak, that is, to all
grades and qualities of a commodity? Here again practical considerations
have dictated the answer. The support-price mechanisms for Steagall
commodities have been designed, to the fullest practicable extent, to sup-
port the prices for producers of the commodity as grown and normally
marketed at any location and at any time. However, in some cases the
administrative officials have determined that the most practicable way
to accomplish this objective, taking into account all factors of production,
storage, marketing, and so forth, is by supporting only certain grades or qualities of a commodity. For example, last year with respect to Irish potatoes loan rates were based on the percentage of U.S. No. 1 potatoes in the lot, and loan rates on U.S. No. 2 potatoes were stated in terms of a percentage of the U.S. No. 1 loan rate. It seems reasonable to conclude that, so long as the support program, to the fullest practicable extent, enables the producer to receive the support price for the commodity in the form or condition in which it is normally marketed by producers, the requirements of the Steagall Amendment are satisfied.

These questions concerning price-support operations for Steagall commodities suggest still another, namely: Under the Steagall Amendment is each individual farmer to be guaranteed the support price on each day that he markets the commodity? To assure the attainment of this objective, it would be necessary throughout the marketing season to have marketing and storage facilities adequate to handle all Steagall Amendment commodities at every point where such commodities are marketed by farmers. Experience demonstrates that facilities are not now available to this extent. Accordingly, as indicated above, the Department must do the best it can with the facilities available. Thus, where local storage facilities are inadequate, producer loan programs may be supplemented by purchase programs and by loans and purchases at subterminal and terminal market levels, all such operations being calculated to assure the announced support prices to farmers.

Still another question is the extent to which seasonal adjustments in support prices may be made. In the case of non-perishable commodities produced on an annual crop basis, supporting the price at the required level, as determined as of the beginning of the marketing year, meets the Department’s obligations under the Steagall Amendment, since the bulk of such a commodity has been produced and is available for marketing at that time. This view of the requirements of the Steagall Amendment is consistent with the specific provisions of the basic commodity loan legislation, which fix loan rates for the basic commodities in relation to parity prices determined as of the beginning of the marketing year. In the case of commodities produced the year round, as well as other commodities, adjustments in the support price in order to reflect either normal or otherwise desirable seasonal variations in the prices received by farmers constitute reasonable action under the Steagall Amendment.

In brief, then, the Steagall Amendment requires that, to the fullest practicable extent, each farmer be given the opportunity to receive support prices for his production of Steagall commodities. This obligation of
the Department under the Steagall Amendment was re-emphasized by
the Congress in the Act of February 28, 1944, in which it was again
declared to be the duty of the Department to carry out fully its commit-
ments to producers under the Steagall Amendment.

There are two other matters which should be mentioned. First, that
the Steagall Amendment provides for supporting Steagall commodities
at parity prices or comparable prices, whichever are applicable with re-
spect to the particular commodity. Parity price is, in brief, a price which
will give to the commodity a purchasing power, in terms of articles ordi-
narily purchased by farmers, approximately equivalent to its purchasing
power in a particular base period. The law provides that the comparable
price for any commodity shall be determined and used if the production
or consumption of the commodity has so changed in extent or character
since the parity base period as to result in a price out of line with parity
prices for basic commodities. Comparable prices have been established
for soybeans, peanuts for oil, and dry peas, and support price operations
based on comparable prices are now in effect with respect to these com-
modities.

Section 301(a)(1) of the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938, 52 Stat. 38 (1938), 7 U.S.C.
§ 1201 (1940) is as follows:

"'Parity,' as applied to prices for any agricultural commodity, shall be that price for the
commodity which will give to the commodity a purchasing power with respect to articles that
farmers buy equivalent to the purchasing power of such commodity in the base period; and, in
the case of all commodities for which the base period is the period August 1909 to July 1914,
which will also reflect current interest payments per acre on farm indebtedness secured by real
estate, tax payments per acre on farm real estate, and freight rates, as contrasted with such in-
terest payments, tax payments, and freight rates during the base period. The base period in
case of all agricultural commodities except tobacco shall be the period August 1909 to July
1914. In the case of all kinds of tobacco except Burley and flue-cured such base period shall be
the period August 1919 to July 1929, and, in the case of Burley and flue-cured tobacco, shall
be the period August 1934 to July 1939; except that the August 1919–July 1929 base period
shall be used in allocating any funds appropriated prior to September 1, 1940."

See Sections 2 and 8e of the Agricultural Adjustment Act (1933), 48 Stat. 32 (1933), 49
They Are Calculated" (Bureau of Agricultural Economics, June 30, 1942), passim.

See section 3(b) of the Emergency Price Control Act of 1942, 56 Stat. 27 (1942), 50 U.S.C.
App. § 903(b) (Supp. III), which provides for the determination and use of comparable prices,
A second matter to be noted is the kind of conditions which the law permits to be attached to price-support benefits. Here, too, the law is not explicit. The Steagall Amendment provides for continuing price support for a two-year period after the war, when the need for increased production will probably have decreased considerably. Since the purpose of the two-year provision was to enable farmers to readjust their production to normal by the close of the two-year period, it is reasonable to conclude that production-adjustment conditions related to changes in production needs may be imposed. This would make the position of the Steagall commodities comparable to that of the basic commodities, on which loans at the full rate are made only to cooperating producers when marketing quotas are in effect. Adequate notice of such conditions would be required, of course. Actually no such production-adjustment conditions have yet been imposed.

With respect to price support for agricultural commodities other than the basic commodities and the Steagall commodities, section 4(b) of the Act of July 1, 1941, declares it to be the policy of the Congress that lending and purchase operations of the Department shall be carried out so as to bring the price and income of the producers of non-basic non-Steagall commodities to a fair parity relationship with the basic and the Steagall commodities, to the extent that funds for such operations are available, after taking into account the operations with respect to basic commodities, in connection with price ceilings on agricultural commodities and products thereof. Comparable prices for seventeen commodities, including soybeans, peanuts for oil, and dry peas, have been established pursuant to this provision. See Monthly Price Report of the Bureau of Agricultural Economics, Agricultural Prices, September 29, 1942, 26-29.

Section 2(m) of the Emergency Price Control Act of 1942, added by the Stabilization Extension Act of 1944, provides that unauthorized conditions shall not be imposed on payments with respect to the production or sale of agricultural commodities or in contracts for the purchase of such commodities. However, conditions reasonably related to the objective of the particular program would seem to be authorized.

The Steagall Amendment originally provided that price support operations should be continued until producers were given sufficient notice to readjust their production. 55 Stat. 498 (1941). It still contains this provision, in addition to the provision that prices shall be supported for at least two years after the war. As amended by 56 Stat. 768 (1942), 15 U.S.C. § 713a-8(a) (Supp. III).

Section 4(b) is as follows:

"Sec. 4(b) It is hereby declared to be the policy of the Congress that the lending and purchase operations of the Department of Agriculture (other than those referred to in subsection (a)) shall be carried out so as to bring the price and income of the producers of non-basic commodities not covered by any such public announcement to a fair parity relationship with other commodities, to the extent that funds for such operations are available after taking into account the operations with respect to the basic commodities and the commodities listed in any such public announcement and the ability of producers to bring supplies into line with demand." Act of July 1, 1941, 55 Stat. 498 (1941), 15 U.S.C.-§ 713a-8(b) (Supp. III).
and Steagall commodities and the ability of producers to bring supplies into line with demand. To the extent practicable, the Department is carrying out this declared policy of the Congress.

As has been stated above, price-support programs for certain of these commodities are being carried out. These include programs for wool, naval stores, American hemp, sugar beets, sugarcane, black-eye peas and beans, certain fruits for processing, certain vegetables for processing, barley, grain sorghums, rye, Sea Island cotton, certain vegetable seeds, winter cover crop seed, and hay and pasture seed. These price supports range from about 90 per cent of parity in the case of the loan program for naval stores to about 130 per cent of parity in the case of sugar beets and wool and about 160 per cent of parity for raisins.

The support-price operations conducted by the Department with respect to the basic and non-basic commodities affect such a large proportion of the total agricultural economy, both in terms of value of the crops and in terms of the number of farmers producing these crops, that the effect of the action is to bring the price of other agricultural commodities in line with those with respect to which these supports are operative. In the absence of a price for commodities not subject to price-support operations comparable to the prices of the supported commodities farmers would, of course, tend to shift to the production of commodities which are supported.

A word may be said about special price-support provisions for three commodities, namely, milk, sugarcane and sugar beets. The Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended, provides for the issuance, after public notice and hearing, of marketing orders which fix minimum prices for milk to producers. In general, the purpose of the law is to fix prices which will tend to assure to the producers of milk and its products a parity return, adjusted to such extent as is reasonable in view of feed prices and other economic factors. In the case of sugar beets and sugarcane, there is statutory provision in the Sugar Act of 1937, as amended, for marketing quotas and allotments with respect to sugar and for conditional payments to producers of beets and cane. In the present short sugar supply situation, however, the quota provisions of the act are not operative.

Of course, the laws forming the bases of price supports for agricultural commodities can be effective only within the limits of available funds.

---

There is annually available to the Department upwards of one hundred million dollars, appropriated by section 32 of the Act of August 24, 1935, as amended, which may be used for supporting prices of agricultural commodities. Currently, and probably for some time yet to come, there will be money available for purchasing commodities for the armed services, lend-lease, and foreign relief. These purchases, besides meeting the needs for which the particular purchases are made, may be effectively utilized to support prices. A half-billion dollars of lend-lease funds have been earmarked for the procurement of agricultural commodities and foods produced in anticipation of lend-lease needs. Of course, the Commodity Credit Corporation, with a borrowing power of three billion dollars and a capital of one hundred million dollars, is the chief source of funds for price-support purposes. If price-support operations are to be continued for the two-year period after the war, as is now provided in the basic loan legislation and the Steagall Amendment—to say nothing of the possibility that the level and scope of price support may be legislatively increased and extended—greatly increased funds must be supplied. It will be seen, therefore, that the extent to which price-support operations are carried out after the war will depend largely upon the extent to which funds are supplied by the Congress.

The laws discussed above are those which directly affect price-support operations. As was indicated at the outset, however, there are, in addition to these, laws which have an indirect price-supporting effect. These latter laws include those which relate to the disposal of government-owned and controlled stocks of agricultural commodities, those which regulate the marketing and affect the production of agricultural commodities, and those which tend to encourage the consumption of agricultural commodities. Because of the close relationship between the restrictions upon

---

34 See hearings before Subcommittee of the House Committee on Appropriations, 78th Cong., 2d Sess. (1944) on Foreign Economic Administration Appropriation Bill for 1945, "Reserve for Agricultural Revolving Fund" 201–208.
35 See Report to President by Director of War Mobilization, cited note 1, supra, and quotation therefrom, note 3, supra.
the disposition of commodities and the direct price-support operations of the Department, a brief discussion of these restrictions is in order.

For the last three years there has been included in the annual agricultural appropriation act a proviso which prohibits, except as is indicated below, the disposition by the Commodity Credit Corporation of farm commodities at less than the parity or comparable price. Moreover, the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938 prevents the sale of government held cotton at a price below that sufficient fully to reimburse the United States for the total cost of the cotton, including all payments made with respect to the cotton by any of its agencies, and, in addition, limits the sale of such cotton to 1,500,000 bales in any calendar year and 300,000 bales in any calendar month. By the provisions of the Surplus Property Act of 1944 approved October 3, 1944, these same restrictions were made applicable to the disposition under that act of surplus farm commodities


"Provided further, That none of the fund made available by this paragraph [for administrative expenses of the Commodity Credit Corporation] shall be used for administrative expenses connected with the sale of Government-owned or Government-controlled stocks of farm commodities at less than parity price as defined by the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938 or the comparable price as provided by section 4(a) of the Act of July 1, 1941, as amended (15 U.S.C. 713a-8); and the method that is now used for the purposes of Commodity Credit Corporation loans for determining the parity price or its equivalent for 1-inch middling cotton at the average location used in fixing the base loan rate for cotton shall also be used for determining the parity price for 1-inch middling cotton at such average location for the purposes of this proviso: Provided further, That the foregoing shall not apply to the sale or other disposition of any agricultural commodity substantially deteriorated in quality (or in the case of perishable fruits and vegetables if there is danger of deterioration or of accumulation of stocks) or sold for the purpose of feeding, or the extraction of peanut oil, or commodities sold to farmers for seed or for new or byproduct uses: Provided further, That no wheat or corn shall be sold for feed at a price less than the parity price of corn at the time such sale is made: Provided further, That in making regional adjustments in the sale price of corn or wheat in [sic] the minimum price need not be higher in any area than the United States average parity price of corn."

39 Section 381(c) of the act, 52 Stat. 67 (1938), 7 U.S.C. § 1381(c) (1940). The provision is as follows:

"Sec. 381(c) The Commodity Credit Corporation is authorized on behalf of the United States to sell any cotton of the 1937 crop so acquired by it, but no such cotton or any other cotton held on behalf of the United States shall be sold unless the proceeds of such sale are at least sufficient to reimburse the United States for all amounts (including any price-adjustment payment) paid out by any of its agencies with respect to the cotton so sold. After July 31, 1939, the Commodity Credit Corporation shall not sell more than three hundred thousand bales of cotton in any calendar month, or more than one million five hundred thousand bales in any calendar year. The proceeds derived from the sale of any such cotton shall be used for the purpose of discharging the obligations assumed by the Commodity Credit Corporation with respect to such cotton, and any amounts not expended for such purposes shall be covered into the Treasury as miscellaneous receipts."
held by any agency of the federal government. In addition, that act provides that farm commodities cannot be sold pursuant thereto even at parity or comparable prices, if current prevailing market prices are higher.\footnote{The provision of the act is as follows:}

The provisions of law which have restricted the disposition of farm commodities by the Commodity Credit Corporation have, however, also contained certain exceptions from these restrictions.\footnote{See items cited in note 38, supra. An exception for relief distribution was contained in the item in the Agriculture Appropriation Act for the fiscal year 1943, but was omitted from the items for the 1944 and 1945 fiscal years.} They permit the disposal of any commodity below the parity or comparable price, if it has substantially deteriorated in quality. And perishable fruits and vegetables may be sold below the parity or comparable price, if there is danger of deterioration or of accumulation of stocks. Also, wheat may be sold for feed at less than parity but not less than the parity price for corn. In addition, farm commodities may be sold below parity or comparable prices, if they are sold to farmers for seed or are sold for new or by-product uses or, in the case of peanuts, for the extraction of peanut oil.

The Surplus Property Act of 1944 also contains a significant exception to the restrictions applicable to the disposition of farm commodities.\footnote{See note 40, supra.} This exception relates to the disposition of farm commodities for export. Farm commodities designated as surplus under the Surplus Property Act of 1944 may be sold for export, subject only to the general provisions relating to the disposition of surplus property set forth in that act. The act also authorizes the Commodity Credit Corporation to dispose of or cause to be disposed of, for cash or its equivalent in goods or for adequately secured credit for export only and at competitive world prices, any farm commodity or product thereof without regard to restrictions with respect to the disposal of commodities imposed upon it by any other law.

\footnote{Sec. 21(c). Surplus farm commodities shall not be sold in the United States under this Act in quantities in excess of, or at prices less than, those applicable with respect to sales of such commodities by the Commodity Credit Corporation, or at less than current prevailing market prices, whichever may be the higher, unless such commodities are being disposed of, pursuant to this Act, only for export; and the Commodity Credit Corporation may dispose of or cause to be disposed of for cash or its equivalent in goods or for adequately secured credit, for export only, and at competitive world prices, any farm commodity or product thereof without regard to restrictions with respect to the disposal of commodities imposed upon it by any law: Provided, That no food or food product shall be sold or otherwise disposed of under this subsection for export (1) if there is a shortage of such food or food product in the United States or if such sale or other disposition may result in such a shortage, or (2) if such food or food product is needed to supply the normal demands of consumers in the United States.}
No food or food product may, of course, be exported if there is a domestic shortage or need of any such food or food product.\footnote{43}

\footnote{43} Other pertinent provisions are those provided by subsections (a) and (b) of section 21 of the Surplus Property Act of 1944, which vest responsibility for the formulation of federal policy with respect to the disposal of all surplus agricultural commodities and their products in the War Food Administrator, and by section 33 of the act, which provides for restrictions on the importation of surplus property into the United States.

These sections read as follows:

"Sec. 21. (a) Subject to the supervision of the Board, the War Food Administrator, or his successor, shall be solely responsible for the formulation of policies with respect to the disposal of surplus agricultural commodities and surplus foods processed from agricultural commodities, which shall be administered by the disposal agency or agencies designated by the Board. Such policies shall be so formulated as to prevent surplus agricultural commodities, or surplus food processed from agricultural commodities, from being dumped on the market in a disorderly manner and disrupting the market prices for agricultural commodities.

"(b) The Board shall not exercise any of its powers under this Act with relation to disposal of surplus cotton or woolen goods except with the approval in writing of the War Food Administrator or his successor.

"Sec. 33. (a) It is the policy of this Act to prohibit, so far as feasible and necessary to carry out the objectives of this Act, the importation into the United States of surplus property sold abroad or for export. The Board shall prescribe regulations to carry out such policy, and the importation of surplus property into the United States is hereby prohibited to the extent specified in such regulations. The Secretary of the Treasury is authorized and directed to provide for the enforcement of such regulations.

"(b) Surplus property sold to members of the armed forces abroad may be brought into the United States without regard to the provisions of subsection (a) if brought in by the original purchaser and upon certificate by him that he is bringing the property into the United States for his personal use."

See also remarks of Representative Whittington in explanation of the export provisions of the act, 90 Cong. Rec., Sept. 18, 1944, at 7963.