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inference that it is a payment for a recommendation nor the buying of business by the lawyer in any guise.

QUESTION No. 240

A lawyer was formerly employed by X to institute an action against Y; the action was compromised, and Y then employed the lawyer to represent him in a commercial matter. Both employments terminated.

X has now consulted the lawyer with a view to employ him to represent Y’s wife (the sister of X) in a matrimonial action.

In the opinion of the Committee should the lawyer’s former employment by Y preclude his acceptance of the present retainer in behalf of Y’s wife—there being no relation whatever in the former employment or in anything then learned by the lawyer, to the present controversy between Y and his wife; and Y being presently represented by other counsel?

ANSWER No. 240

It is the opinion of the Committee that the question discloses no fact upon which any contention of professional impropriety could be predicated.

BOOK REVIEWS

I’LL NEVER MOVE AGAIN

Mr. Fitzhugh Green is the author of a genuinely humorous book entitled as above, illustrated by Don Herold, and published by E. P. Dutton & Co., New York City.

The author has moved so often—in fact, fifty-two times—that he has become expert in construing provisions of leases, and what he says about them is enlightening and, if you are fond of humor, highly entertaining. Not only does he analyze the lease, but he tells you how to find out what sort of neighbors you are going to have; how to look for weak points in the house you are thinking of buying; how and when to buy, and especially when not to buy, and a host of other important details that get the inexperienced mover into trouble.

The book abounds with sage advice from one who has evidently had much practical experience. A chapter is devoted to the family lawyer. In fact, any lawyer will enjoy this book, as it deals in great part with phrases and provisions with which he is familiar, from a new viewpoint and in a humorous vein. It deals with landlords and puppydogs, flivvers and fancy work, cockroaches and caretakers, disappearing ink and diapers, lawyers and land sharks, plumbers and policemen, furnaces and fuel.

We recommend that you read it, as we can’t give you even a faint idea of its qualities.

NOTES TO STATUTES OF INDIANA

We are in receipt of a volume of Notes to Statutes of Indiana (new series), edited by Emerson E. Ballard, A. M., editor of “Indiana References and Annotations,” and published by National Annotating Company, Crawfordsville, Indiana.

The volume combines annotations to statutes and digest of cases under one arrangement, which is familiar to all who have had occasion to make use of the Indiana decisions to any extent. It brings this work down to date. This is considered to be one of the most valuable works of the kind. It is done with painstaking care, with the result that clear cut, well edited statements are given of every point contained in the decisions of the Indiana Supreme and Appellate Courts, which construes or applies any section of the statutes, touches a subject covered by a statute, or is purely common law. All matter is arranged under the section numbers and chapter headings of Burns' Statutes 1914 and Burns’ Supplement 1921, in their proper order. A Topical Index at the close of each volume enables the lawyer to locate any point. Where any decision overrules, modifies, criticizes or distinguishes a former case, that fact is noted in direct connection with the statement made of a later decision. This information is placed where it cannot be overlooked.

References are given to selected current decisions of the courts of other states construing similar statutes, and to the notes and articles in the current volumes of American Law Reports, Central Law Journal; also to notes in Lawyers Edition of United States Reports, and “Notes of Decisions” in United States Compiled Statutes.

This is a highly valuable working tool which the Indiana Lawyers and other lawyers having to do with the Indiana laws cannot afford to dispense with for the very nominal price at which it sells.

The rival candidates were stump ing the state, and one found fault with the other’s lack of energy.

“Ladies and Gentlemen,” he said, “my opponent is actually so lazy that there is really only one position he is fit to fill.”

“What is that?” he was challenged.

“Pork inspector of the City of Jerusalem.”

—Wag Jag.