which he made at the dedication of the courtroom of the University of Chicago Law School in 1960 richly merited preservation; it was a graceful little gem of a speech, wise in its observations, rich in its scholarship, and warmly respectful and sympathetic to the law.

It has been enlightening to read these opinions in conjunction with Professor Llewellyn's recent volume, The Common Law Tradition: Deciding Appeals, which examines in detail the craft and the techniques of appellate judging. Llewellyn largely takes as his raw material certain random opinions of selected appellate benches, as delivered at particular times; so an observer keen as Professor Llewellyn, this volume of Judge Schwartz's would be a veritable laboratory, in which, in concentrated form, he could observe the judges craft as it is practiced by one able exponent. This volume, I trust, may be the forerunner of other or similar collections to facilitate the study of such craftsmanship through the close and detailed observation of the work of individual judges. Studies in depth of the opinions of individual judges promise genuine usefulness for observation of judicial craftsmanship and development. From the point of view of watching changes and growth in a judge's style, his technique and his viewpoint, it would be preferable (for the purposes of this kind of study if all of a judge's opinions were collected, put in chronological order, and set forth in full).

Judge Schwartz's judicial performance, as exemplified in this volume, merits high commendation; collections of a judge's opinions which will measure up to his high standards will be very rare. His opinions are forthright, clear, and gracefully stated. They proceed with logic and cogency in stating their premises and in making explicit their relation to precedent, without twisting it or avoiding issues. They demonstrate practical and knowledgeable grasp of their fact situations, and they exhibit wisdom and understanding in their conclusions. They perform well their function of making clear to the litigants and to the Bar the issues which concerned the court, the matters which the court found helpful in dealing with these issues, and the reasons which led the court to the conclusions reached. This volume of Judge Schwartz's opinions is a pleasing and valuable addition to legal literature.

FOOTNOTES

1 Llewellyn, The Common Law Tradition: Deciding Appeals.
9 Nolting v. Civil Service Commission, p. 188 (7 Ill. App. 2d 147) (1955).
12 Gray v. Gray, p. 6.