

CORRESPONDENCE

With this issue, the Review inaugurates a new (and experimental) Correspondence section. The editors invite letters in response to articles, essays, and book reviews published in the Review. Letters should be of moderate length and addressed to Articles Editors, Law Review, University of Chicago Law School, 1111 East 60th Street, Chicago, Illinois 60637. We cannot, of course, guarantee that all letters received will be published; those that are published will likely be edited, with the cooperation of the author.

The last issue of the Review included Professor William Fletcher's article, *The Diversity Explanation of the Eleventh Amendment: A Reply to Critics*.¹ The critics have their reply on the pages that follow, and Professor Fletcher returns with a rebuttal. The respondents include Professor Calvin Massey, who defends and elaborates arguments set forth in this journal a year ago,² and Professors William Marshall and Lawrence Marshall, whose views recently appeared in articles in the Harvard Law Review.³

¹ 56 U Chi L Rev 1261 (1989).

² Calvin R. Massey, *State Sovereignty and the Tenth and Eleventh Amendments*, 56 U Chi L Rev 61 (1989).

³ William P. Marshall, *The Diversity Theory of the Eleventh Amendment: A Critical Evaluation*, 102 Harv L Rev 1372 (1989); and Lawrence C. Marshall, *Fighting the Words of the Eleventh Amendment*, 102 Harv L Rev 1342 (1989).