

WRITINGS OF KENNETH CULP DAVIS

BOOKS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW OF THE SEVENTIES (1976 Supp. to ADMINISTRATIVE LAW TREATISE).
DISCRETIONARY JUSTICE IN EUROPE AND AMERICA (1976).
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW AND GOVERNMENT (2d ed. 1975).
POLICE DISCRETION (1975).
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW CASES-TEXT-PROBLEMS (5th ed. 1973).
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW TEXT (3d ed. 1972).
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW TREATISE (Supp. 1970).
DISCRETIONARY JUSTICE: A PRELIMINARY INQUIRY (1969).
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW CASES-TEXT-PROBLEMS (4th ed. 1965).
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW AND GOVERNMENT (1960).
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW CASES-TEXT-PROBLEMS (3d ed. 1960).
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW CASES (2d ed. 1959).
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW TEXT (1959).
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW TREATISE (1958 & Supps. 1963, 1965, 1970, 1976).
CASES ON ADMINISTRATIVE LAW (1951).
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW (1951).
WEST VIRGINIA ANNOTATIONS TO RESTATEMENT OF AGENCY (1938).

ARTICLES

Administrative Law Surprises in the Ruiz Case, 75 COLUM. L. REV. 823 (1975).
An Approach to Legal Control of the Police, 52 TEXAS L. REV. 703 (1974).
Discretionary Justice in Social Research and the Law, 23 J. LEGAL EDUC. 56 (1971).
Hearsay in Nonjury Cases, 83 HARV. L. REV. 1362 (1970).
Sovereign Immunity Must Go, 22 AD. L. REV. 383 (1970).
The Liberalized Law of Standing, 37 U. CHI. L. REV. 450 (1970).
Case of the Real Taxpayer: A Reply to Professor Bittker, 36 U. CHI. L. REV. 364 (1969).
A New Approach to Delegation, 36 U. CHI. L. REV. 450 (1969).
Judicial Notice [Federal Rules of Evidence], 1969 LAW & SOCIAL ORDER 513.
Standing: Taxpayers and Others, 35 U. CHI. L. REV. 601 (1968).
The Administrative Procedure Act Applies to Boards of Contract Appeals, 1 PUB. CONTRACT L.J. 4 (1967).
Mr. Cuneo's Exposed Heel, 1 PUB. CONTRACT L.J. 38 (1967).
Administrative Arbitrariness Is Not Always Reviewable, 51 MINN. L. REV. 643 (1967).
The Information Act: A Preliminary Analysis, 34 U. CHI. L. REV. 761 (1967).
"Judicial Control of Administrative Action": A Review, 66 COLUM. L. REV. 635 (1966).
Administrative Arbitrariness—A Final Word, 114 U. PA. L. REV. 814 (1966).
Administrative Arbitrariness—A Postscript, 114 U. PA. L. REV. 823 (1966).
Administrative Procedure in the Regulation of Banking, 31 LAW & CONTEMP. PROB. 713 (1966).
Banking Agencies' Secrecy: A Response to Mr. Bloom, 31 LAW & CONTEMP. PROB. 731 (1966).
Behavioral Science and Administrative Law, 17 J. LEGAL EDUC. 137 (1965).
A System of Judicial Notice Based on Fairness and Convenience, in PERSPECTIVES OF LAW: ESSAYS FOR AUSTIN WAKEMAN SCOTT 69 (R. Pound ed. 1964).
Hearsay in Administrative Hearings, 32 GEO. WASH. L. REV. 689 (1964).
Standing to Sue in Religion Cases, 110 CONG. REC. 3135 (1964).
An Approach to Rules of Evidence for Nonjury Cases, 50 A.B.A.J. 723 (1964).
English Administrative Law: Another Word, 1963 PUB. LAW 1.

- English Administrative Law: An American View*, 1962 PUB. LAW 139.
- Nuclear Facilities Licensing: Another View*, 110 U. PA. L. REV. 371 (1962).
- Suing the Government by Falsely Pretending to Sue an Officer*, 29 U. CHI. L. REV. 435 (1962).
- Dueprocessitis in the Atomic Energy Commission*, 47 A.B.A.J. 782 (1961).
- Ombudsman in America: Officers to Criticize Administrative Action*, 109 U. PA. L. REV. 1057 (1961), excerpted in 1962 PUB. LAW 34.
- The Future of Judge-Made Public Law in England: A Problem of Practical Jurisprudence*, 61 COLUM. L. REV. 201 (1961).
- Text-Problem Form of the Case Method as a Means of Mind Training for Advanced Law Students*, 12 J. LEGAL EDUC. 543 (1960).
- Separation of the Judicial Functions of the Administrative Agencies*, 26 ICC PRAC. J. 773 (1959).
- Administrative Remedies Often Need Not Be Exhausted*, 19 F.R.D. 437 (1957).
- Tort Liability of Government Units*, 40 MINN. L. REV. 751 (1956).
- Administrative Officer's Tort Liability*, 55 MICH. L. REV. 201 (1956).
- New Jersey's Unique Concept of "Fair Play" in the Administrative Process*, 10 RUTGERS L. REV. 660 (1956).
- Requirement of a Trial-Type Hearing*, 70 HARV. L. REV. 193 (1956).
- Residuum Rule in Administrative Law*, 28 ROCKY MT. L. REV. 1 (1955).
- Evidence*, in *Symposium: Hoover Commission and Task Force Reports on Legal Services and Procedures*, 30 N.Y.U.L. REV. 1309 (1955).
- Mandatory Relief from Administrative Action in the Federal Courts*, 22 U. CHI. L. REV. 585 (1955).
- Standing to Challenge Governmental Action*, 39 MINN. L. REV. 353 (1955).
- Ripeness of Governmental Action for Judicial Review* (pts. 1-2), 68 HARV. L. REV. 1122, 1326 (1955).
- Government Officers as Defendants: Two Troublesome Problems*, 104 U. PA. L. REV. 69 (1955).
- Judicial Notice*, 55 COLUM. L. REV. 945 (1955).
- Unreviewable Administrative Action*, 15 F.R.D. 411 (1954).
- Sovereign Immunity in Suits Against Officers for Relief Other Than Damages*, 40 CORNELL L.Q. 3 (1954).
- "Reflections of a Law Professor on Instruction and Research in Public Administration": An Exchange*, 48 AM. POL. SCI. REV. 174 (1954) (reply to Joseph P. Harris).
- Reflections of a Law Professor on Instruction and Research in Public Administration*, 47 AM. POL. SCI. REV. 728 (1953).
- Legislative History and the Wheat Board Case*, 31 CAN. B. REV. 1 (1953).
- Chief Justice Wolfe on Administrative Law*, 3 UTAH L. REV. 175 (1952).
- Standing, Ripeness and Civil Liberties: A Critique of Adler v. Board of Education*, 38 A.B.A.J. 924 (1952).
- Administrative Findings, Reasons, and Stare Decisis*, 38 CALIF. L. REV. 218 (1950).
- Administrative Law Doctrines of Exhaustion of Remedies, Ripeness for Review, and Primary Jurisdiction* (pts. 1-2), 28 TEXAS L. REV. 168, 376 (1950).
- Evidence Reform: Administrative Process Leads the Way*, 34 MINN. L. REV. 581 (1950).
- Scope of Review of Federal Administrative Action*, 50 COLUM. L. REV. 559 (1950).
- Forms of Proceeding for Judicial Review of Administrative Action*, 44 ILL. L. REV. 565 (1949).
- Standing to Challenge and to Enforce Administrative Action*, 49 COLUM. L. REV. 759 (1949).
- Official Notice*, 62 HARV. L. REV. 537 (1949).
- Administrative Rules—Interpretative, Legislative, and Retroactive*, 57 YALE L.J. 919 (1948).
- Bias of Administrative Officers*, 32 MINN. L. REV. 199 (1948).
- Institutional Administrative Decisions*, 48 COLUM. L. REV. 173 (1948).
- Nonreviewable Administrative Action*, 96 U. PA. L. REV. 749 (1948).
- Separation of Functions in Administrative Agencies*, 61 HARV. L. REV. 389 (1948).
- The Administrative Power of Investigation*, 56 YALE L.J. 1111 (1947).
- Res Judicata in Administrative Law*, 25 TEXAS L. REV. 199 (1947).
- Administrative Control of Oil Production in Texas*, 22 TEXAS L. REV. 149 (1944) (with Y.Y. Willbern).

- An Approach to Problems of Evidence in the Administrative Process*, 55 HARV. L. REV. 364 (1942).
- Dean Pound's Errors About Administrative Agencies*, 42 COLUM. L. REV. 804 (1942).
- Requirement of Opportunity to Be Heard in the Administrative Process*, 51 YALE L.J. 1093 (1942).
- Dean Pound and Administrative Law*, 42 COLUM. L. REV. 89 (1942).
- Judicial Emasculation of Administrative Action and Oil Proration: Another View*, 19 TEXAS L. REV. 29 (1942).
- Revolution in the Supreme Court*, 166 ATLANTIC MONTHLY 85 (1940).
- To What Extent Should the Decisions of Administrative Bodies be Reviewable by the Courts?* 25 A.B.A.J. 770 (1939).
- A Final Word on the Doctrine of the Hodges Case*, 45 W. VA. L.Q. 316 (1939).
- Judicial Review of Administrative Action in West Virginia—A Study in Separation of Powers*, 44 W. VA. L.Q. 270 (1938).

BOOK REVIEWS

- Review of W. GELLHORN & C. BYSE, *ADMINISTRATIVE LAW, CASES AND COMMENTS*, 72 COLUM. L. REV. 432 (1972).
- Review of W. GELLHORN, *OMBUDSMEN AND OTHERS AND WHEN AMERICANS COMPLAIN*, 80 HARV. L. REV. 1833 (1967).
- Review of J. WHYATT, *A REPORT BY JUSTICE: THE CITIZEN AND THE ADMINISTRATION: THE REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES*, 75 HARV. L. REV. 1258 (1962).
- Review of S. DE SMITH, *JUDICIAL REVIEW OF ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION*, 61 COLUM. L. REV. 201 (1961).
- Review of NEW YORK UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW, *FEDERAL ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT AND THE ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCIES*, 20 ROCKY MT. L. REV. 110 (1947).
- Review of F.A. HAYEK, *THE ROAD TO SERFDOM*, 25 TEXAS L. REV. 205 (1945).
- Review of R. MAGILL, *THE IMPACT OF FEDERAL TAXES*, 21 TEXAS L. REV. 666 (1943).
- Review of A. LEISERSON, *ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATION: A STUDY IN REPRESENTATION OF INTERESTS*, 3 LAWYERS GUILD REV. 48 (1943).
- Review of C. CARR, *CONCERNING ENGLISH ADMINISTRATIVE LAW*, 21 TEXAS L. REV. 216 (1942).
- Review of J. CHAMBERLAIN, N. DOWLING, & P. HAYS, *JUDICIAL FUNCTION IN FEDERAL ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCIES*, 52 YALE L.J. 191 (1942).
- Review of R. CUSHMAN, *INDEPENDENT REGULATORY COMMISSIONS*, 30 GEO. L.J. 688 (1942).
- Review of W. GELLHORN, *ADMINISTRATIVE LAW, CASES AND COMMENTS*, 19 TEXAS L. REV. 218 (1941).
- Review of F. BLACHLY & M. OATMAN, *FEDERAL REGULATORY ACTION AND CONTROL*, 1 LAWYERS GUILD REV. 35 (1940).
- Review of L. WARSOFF, *EQUALITY AND THE LAW*, 24 IOWA L. REV. 815 (1939).

OTHER MATERIALS

- Emerging Trends and Problems in National Institute on Federal Urban Grants*, 22 AD. LAW REV. 113 (1970) (panel discussion).
- Public Information Act and Interpretative and Advisory Rulings*, 20 AD. LAW REV. 1 (1967) (panel discussion).