

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO LAW REVIEW

VOLUME 16

WINTER 1949

NUMBER 2

BOARD OF EDITORS

MILTON I. SHADUR, *Editor-in-Chief*

WILLIAM S. BOYLSTON

JERALD E. JACKSON

SHELDON O. COLEN

ABRAHAM KRASH

MICHAEL J. CULLEN

WILLIAM T. MARTIN, JR.

JAMES J. McCLURE, JR.

Associates

THEODORE W. DELOOZE

BERNARD N. MARCANTEL

SOL M. EDIDIN

RICHARD M. ORLIKOFF

DANIEL FOGEL

GEORGE D. RAMSPECK

R. H. GOLDSMITH

CHARLES N. SALZMAN

JULIAN W. MACK

JAMES H. SHIMBERG

MARVIN T. TEPPERMAN

ROBERT W. CROWE, *Business Editor*

MAX M. DERRY, *Associate*

E. W. PUTTKAMMER, *Faculty Adviser*

NOTES

MAJORITY RULE AND THE RIGHT TO STRIKE

He [the worker] has a legal right under the federal constitution—and a natural right as a mortal—to work, not to do so, to quit, to be lazy, to go fishing.¹

As part of their efforts to establish rules to govern the conduct of labor disputes, seven states have enacted legislation making it an unfair labor practice

¹ State ex rel. Dairyland Power Cooperative v. Wisconsin Employment Relations Board, 14 C.C.H. Lab. Cas. ¶ 64385 (Wis. C.C. Dane County, 1948), rev'd on other grounds 15 C.C.H. Lab. Cas. ¶ 64638 (Wis. S. Ct., 1948).