NEW LIMITATIONS ON CHOICE OF FEDERAL FORUM

The doctrine of forum non conveniens is peculiar in modern law to common law countries. Civil law systems have no need for such a regulatory device, since venue of suits in personam is generally restricted in civil law jurisdictions to the defendant's residence, or principal seat of business, or to the place where the

---

1 On this topic see, generally, Blair, The Doctrine of Forum Non Conveniens in Anglo-American Law, 29 Col. L. Rev. 1 (1929); Braucher, The Inconvenient Federal Forum, 60 Harv. L. Rev. 908 (1947); Dainow, The Inappropriate Forum, 29 Ill. L. Rev. 867 (1935); Foster, Place of Trial—Interstate Application of Interstate Methods of Adjustment, 44 Harv. L. Rev. 41 (1930); Foster, Place of Trial in Civil Actions, 43 Harv. L. Rev. 1217 (1930); Dodd, Jurisdiction in Personal Actions, 23 Ill. L. Rev. 427 (1920); see also Forum Non Conveniens, A New Federal Doctrine, 56 Yale L.J. 1234 (1947); 32 A.L.R. 6 (1924) (non-statutory tort cases); 87 A.L.R. 1425 (1933) (contract cases).